## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. <u>CR21-0074 (BLF)</u>
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
David Ha,	
Defendant(s).	)
Trial Act from 02/19/2021 to 05/04/2021 continuance outweigh the best interest of the publ	and finds that the ends of justice served by the lic and the defendant in a speedy trial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ald be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	lex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of e prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact appearation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Idd deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the caparagraph and — based on the part the time limits for a preliminary he extending the 30-day time period f	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ourt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending earing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the ed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	41
DATED: 02/19/2021	Virginia K. DeMarchi United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: /s/ Jesse Garcia	/s/ Daniel Pastor
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney